National Policies on Forestry and Trade in Forest Products, Ec onomic Aspect of Forest Production, Approaches for FLEG-T and the Lacey Act: Expected Impacts

**UN-REDD** Regional Workshop & Dialogue

Sein Htoon Linn – Director, Planning and Statistics Department and Thaung Naing Oo – Assistant Director, Forest Department Ministry of Forestry, MYANMAR

> 11-12 November, 2010 Bangkok, Thailand

2010

## **Outline of the presentation**

- Country introduction
- Forest resources based
- National forest policy
  - Forestry and trade in forest products
  - Economic aspect of forest production
  - Approaches for FLEGT
  - Forest management system
- Conclusion

## **Country Introduction**

## **Country introduction**



Mean Temperature range \*25° C to 33° C (Rainy Season) \*10° C to 25° C (Cold Season) \*32° C to 38° C (Hot Season) \*43° C (Maximum Temperature)

#### Mean Rainfall \*Minimum rainfall <800 mm \*Maximum rainfall = 5,000 mm

#### **Location**

- Southeast Asia
- ✤ Latitudes = 9° 58′ to 28° 29′ N
- Longitudes = 92° 10′ to 101° 10′ E

#### <u>Area</u>

- Total land area = 676,577 km<sup>2</sup> (67.7 mil ha)
- Length (north to south) = 2,090 km
- Maximum width(west to east) = 805 km

Demography Population = 58 million (2006) Pop: density = 87 per km<sup>2</sup>

## Forest resources based

## Organization Chart



## **Forest resource based**



Forest types	Typical rainfall (mm/year)	Area (km <sup>2</sup> )	% of total forest area
Tidal, beach, dune and swamp forest	>3,500	13,750	4
Hill and temperate	>3,000	89,378	25
evergreen forest Semi evergreen and	2,500-4,000	55,004	16
evergreen forest Mixed deciduous forest	1,250-2,500	134,068	38
Deciduous dipterocarp	900-1,250	17,187	5
(Indaing) forest Dry forest	< 900	34,377	10
Fallow land	-	9,983	2
Total	1. 1. 2.2	353,747	100

Figure 1 . Map of Forest Cover Status in Myanmar











## Forest resources based cont'

## Permanent forest estate (PFE)

Legal classification classification	Area (km <sup>2</sup> )	% of land area
Reserved forest	114,995	17.00
Public protected forest	26,799	3.96
Protected area system	31,945	4.72
Area of PFE	173,739	25.68
Unclassified forest forest area	180,008	26.60
Total	353,747	52.28

Source: Forest Department, 2006



## Forest resource based cont'

Forest cover changes

Year	1990	2000	2005	2010
Forest cover (1000 ha)	39,218	34,868	33,321	31,773

Period	1990-2000	2000-2005	2005-2010	
Annual loss	435,000	309,000	310,000	
(ha)				

1990-2010, annual loss = 372,250 ha (FAO-FRA, 2010)

Over exploitation
Repeated logging in accessible areas
Illegal logging
Fuelwood crisis
Expansion of agricultural lands
Urban expansion (infrastructure development)
Shifting cultivation
Population

FAO-FRA (2010)

**Carbon sequestration in tropical deciduous forests** 

Study sites	Above-	Root	Litter-fall	Under-	Soil	Total carbon
	ground	carbon	carbon	growth	carbon	(ton/ha)
	carbón			carbon		
	Ι	II	III	IV	V	I+II+IIII+IV+V
Oktwin	185.2	37.04	3.76	6.69	180.98	413.7
forests	$(14.78)^{a}$	(2.96)	$(0.22)^{a}$	(0.33) <sup>b</sup>	$(3.41)^{a}$	
Ywangan	194.5	38.9	4.11	7.11	192.38	437.0
Community	(21.35) <sup>a</sup>	(4.27)	$(0.16)^{a}$	(0.39) <sup>ab</sup>	$(1.49)^{a}$	
Forests						
Alaungdaw	227.7	45.5	4.16	7.91	195.20	480.5
Kathapa NP	(23.19) <sup>a</sup>	(4.64)	$(0.20)^{a}$	$(0.40)^{a}$	$(2.82)^{a}$	

◆Not significantly different among study sites (p>0.05).

Source: Thaung Naing Oo, 2009 10

## National Forest Policies



- New Forest Policy was formulated in 1995.
- It is a major breakthrough in Forestry Sector of Myanmar.
- It is based on the political statements towards conservation and development of natural resources and major national policies related to socio-economic and environmental conservation.
- It provides opportunities for the promotion of private sector involvement in reforestation and timber trade, and decentralizes the management responsibilities.

## Policy Imperatives

PROTECTION of soil, water, wildlife, biodiversity and environment;

SUSTAINABILITY of forest resources to ensure perpetual supply of both tangible and intangible benefits;

BASIC NEEDS of the people for fuel, shelter, food and recreation;

**EFFICIENCY** to harness, in the socio-environmentally friendly manner, the full economic potential of the forest resources;

PARTICIPATION of the people in the conservation and utilization of the forests;

PUBLIC AWARENESS about the vital role of the forests in the well being and socio-economic development of the nation.

## Policy measures

\* Protection and management

- Up to 30% of total land area of the country will be gazetted as Reserve Forest
- 5% under Protected Area System for short term and 10% for long-term.
  - 24.25% have been gazetted as Reserve Forest and Public Protected Forest (As of September, 2010)
  - 4.72% have been conserved as PAS (5.56% (45,028.27 km<sup>2</sup> including proposed PAS area) (As of September, 2010)
- A system of environmental pricing based on "Polluter Pays" to compensate for environmental and ecological degradation.

## Forest resources based cont'

- 1. Khakarborzi NP
- 2. Tamanthi WS
- 3. Pidaung WS
- 4. Chatthin WS
- 5. Minwun-Taung WS
- 6. Shwe-U-Daung WS
- 7. Alaungdaw-kathapa NP
- 8. Pyin-Oo-Lwin WS
- 9. Mingon Taung WS
- 10. Lawkanandar WS
- 11. Natmataung NP
- 12. Wethikan WS
- 13. Shwesettaw WS
- 14. Popa NP
- 15. Padalingu WS
- 16. Taunggyi WS
- 17. Inle Lake WS
- 18. Loimowe WS

## Protected Area System (PAS)



31,945 km<sup>2</sup> (4.72 % of total area)

19. Parsar WS 20. Rakhine-Yoma elephant range 21. Thamihla Kyun WS 22. Mainmahla Kyun WS 23. Hlawga WP 24. Moyingyi WS 25. Kelatha WS 26. Kyaikhtiyo WS 27. Kahilu WS 28. Mulayit WS 29. Moscos Island WS 30. Lampi Marine Park 31. Indawgyi WS 32. Kyauk Pan Taung WS 33. Hponkan Rzai WS 34. Hukaung Valley WS

## Policy measures

\* Forest regeneration and afforestation

- Recognize that plantation forestry is not a substitute for natural forest management.
- Establish plantation on degraded/denuded lands;
- Reforest an annual area of 30,000 hectares of rehabilitations for meeting rural needs.



## **Plantation Forestry**

- **1856 Small scale plantation initiated using Taungya method**
- 1941 The extent of plantations reach 47,167 ha
- 1980 Large scale plantations began
- 1984 Annual plantation target reached 20,000 ha
- 1985 Myanmar Forest Policy, 1995
- 1998 Special teak plantation program was launched to increase timber production
- **1999** Private plantation program started.
- Present, Annual planting rate over 30,000 ha (teak and other commercial species)



## **Restoration methods in Myanmar**

- Conventional plantation project
  - Mostly in degraded forests caused by shifting cultivation
- To meet the demands and to supplement the natural forests Enrichment planting
  - Mostly in logged-over areas and also degraded forests
    - ✤To increase the stock density of desirable commercial tree species
- Taung-ya method (Agroforestry = Forest tree+crops)
  - Mostly in logged-over areas and also degraded forests To establish plantation with low cost while benefiting the local farmers
- Community forestry (community-based forest plantations) Mostly in degraded forests for reforestation as well as afforestation

✤To reforest degraded lands while encouraging local people to participate in forestry activities 18









19



## **Carbon sequestration of commercial tree species**

No.	Species	Mean DB	Tree C*	SOC	Litter-fall	Undergrowth	Total C
	I	H (cm)	(ton /ha)	(ton/ha)	C (ton/ha)	C (ton/ha)	(ton/ha)
1	Teak	26.0	54.7	59.9	2.1	4.2	116.1
2	Pyinkado	24.6	71.5	59.9	2.1	4.2	137.7
3	Padauk	27.7	72.7	59.9	2.1	4.2	138.9
4	Thinwun	27.6	72.5	59.9	2.1	4.2	138.7
5	Taukkyan	22.8	53.8	59.9	2.1	4.2	120.0
6	Yemane	25.0	36.3	59.9	2.1	4.2	102.5
7	Yinma	24.0	63.1	59.9	2.1	4.2	129.3

Source: Thaung Naing Oo (2009)

Note: \*above and below ground carbon (root carbon)

Stock density was assumed to be 400 trees per ha for each species. SOC, litter-fall carbon and undergrowth carbon were also assumed to be the same as 26-year-old mixed-species plantation 21

### Policy measures

Export = 0.95 mil m<sup>3</sup>

\* Trade in forest products

- Export of round logs is gradually phased out.
- Ensure a rational balance between national forest industry processing capacity and resource availability.
- Recognize the socio-economic importance of non-wood forest products.
- Support the development of value-added industries.

## Annual timber production = 2.9 mil m<sup>3</sup> (Teak and other hardwood)

## Policy measures

Economic aspects of forest production

- Myanmar's economy is heavily dependent on trade, and forest products are major component of total exports in terms of value.
- Forest product export accounts for approximately 35% of all export earning.
- Budgetary allocation accounts for 10-15% of the revenue generated at current prices.

## Forest Law Enforcement, Governance and Trade (FLEGT) Legal aspect & Approaches for FLEGT

- The important instruments currently used for managing the forest in Myanmar
  - Forest law (1992);
  - Protection of wildlife and wild plants and conservation of natural areas law (1994);
  - Myanmar Agenda 21
  - Community forestry instructions (1995);
  - National forestry action plan (1995);
  - Format and guidelines for district forest management plans (1996);
  - National code of forest harvesting practices in Myanmar (2000).

## Forest Law Enforcement, Governance and Trade (FLEGT) cont'

- C&I for SFM
- C&I for legality of timber
- Timber Certification Committee Myanmar (TCCM)
  - MoF, NGOs,
- Timber Certification Action Plan (2010-2015)
- To introduce control mechanisms such as certification.
- Special Operations against illegal logging, seized 70,312 m<sup>3</sup>
- Special efforts to reduce illegal logging in the hot spot areas
- At the Border Gates, Inspection Teams are assigned to control and reduce cross-border movements of illegal timber and wood products.



## Myanmar was signatory to:

- \* UN Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) in November 1994;
- \* Kyoto Protocol in 2003 as non-Annex I country.
- \* UN Convention to Combat Desertification (UNCCD) in January 1994;
- \* UN Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) in November 1994;
- \* International Tropical Timber Organization (ITTO) in November 1993;
- Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES) in June 1997;

## Forest Management System in Myanmar

In line with the REDD+ mechanism

- \* Avoided deforestation and degradation
- **\*** Sustainable Forest Management
- Conservation of forest carbon stock
- **\*** Re-afforestation to increase carbon stock

#### Sustainable forest management

Forest Management Plan (2002–2031)

It includes 5 categories:
 \* Production Working Circle (PWC),
 \* Planted Forests Working Circle (PFWC),
 \* Local Supply / Community Forestry Working Circle (LS/CFWC)
 \* Watershed Forests Working Circle (FWC) and
 \* Non-wood Forest Products Working Circle (NFPWC)



- The present plans cover a 10-year period from 2006-07 to 2015-16.
- The plan is based on the district level as basic implementa tion unit.
- Altogether 62 district management plans are being drawn up to be in line with the new perspectives for 10 year period.
- Myanmar Selection System (MSS) has been the principle f orest management system since over 120 years.
- Sustainable Forest Management/ Sustained yield is the basic principle of the MSS.
- Least impacts to the environment

Main Features of Myanmar Selection System – MSS

- It is an exploitation-cum-cultural System.
- MSS is practiced within the bound of :
  - (1) Space/Area limit (Felling series)
  - (2) Size/Girth limit and (minimum girth limit)
  - (3) Time limit ( a felling cycle of 30 years)
- Enumeration of future yield trees down to fixed sizes
- Leaving high quality teak tree as seed tree (mother tree)
- Fixing of Annual Allowable Cut for teak and hardwood







## Community Forestry (CF)

- Forest Department initiated CF in 1995 under CFIs.
- CF aims at improving living conditions of the local people by supporting FUGs to manage community forest more effectively, sustainably and equitably.
- It is also to help to restore the productivity of degraded forest lands and to promote the welfare of the local people
- If **REDD** is designed properly integrated with community forestry, local community would benefits both from CF and REDD activities as well.



## The salient points CF (CFI - FD, 1995):

- Any land at the disposal of the State, including reserved forests and village supply plantations, can be alienated as community forests;
- \* Land tenure is initially granted for 30 years, but can be extended
- \* The tenure right is inheritable;
- **\*** Forest products harvested from CF for domestic use are tax-free;
- No restriction is imposed on the selling and pricing of the surplus forest products;
- Seeds and seedlings needed for the first rotation and technical assistant are provided by FD free of charge;
- FD's approval to establish CF can be easily and quickly obtained; and
- \* The duties and responsibilities of the user's group are reasonable.

Total area of community forests by 2009 = 102,402 acre (41,458 ha) Total members of forest user groups by 2009 = 39,298



## Myanmar's initiative for **REDD**<sup>+</sup>

#### **REDD+**

✤ Present conditions for REDD+

- The government of Myanmar signed UNFCCC on 11 June 1992 and ratified the convention on 25 November 1994.
- Myanmar ratified Kyoto Protocol in **2003** as a non-Annex I party.
- DNA of Myanmar was established in 2006 in order to approve the proposed CDM projects.
- DNA has 22 members from 15 ministries, is led by Minister for Ministry of Forestry, the secretariat and joint-secretariat are senior officials from Forest Department.
- Forest Department organized a core unit to conduct A/R CDM and REDD+.
- Forest Department and UNDP (Myanmar) jointly organized a National Workshop on REDD on April, 2010 in Myanmar.
- Forest Department has been enthusiastically seeking the possible means and ways to initiate REDD<sup>+</sup> readiness activities as well as to be able participate in UN-REDD program.



## Thank you very much for your kind attention!

## **Biomass allometric regression equations for seven species**

Regression model:  $\log Y = a + b \log X$ ; where, Y= total tree biomass (kg); X= (DBH)<sup>2</sup> × height, a and b are the estimated parameters

D' (17)		,	D2		
Biomass (Y)	a	b	$\mathbf{R}^2$	Prob. Level	S.E.
Total tree biomass	0.834	-0.820	0.93	<i>p</i> <0.01	0.090
Total tree biomass	0.838	-0.887	0.93	<i>p</i> <0.01	0.126
Total tree biomass	0.865	-1.007	0.96	<i>p</i> <0.005	0.089
Total tree biomass	0.648	-0.097	0.95	<i>p</i> <0.005	0.084
Total tree biomass	0.732	-0.690	0.98	<i>p</i> <0.005	0.048
Total tree biomass	0.950	-1.368	0.97	<i>p</i> <0.005	0.109
Total tree biomass	0.746	-0.628	0.91	<i>p</i> <0.05	0.047
Total tree biomass	0.953	-1.437	0.99	<i>p</i> <0.001	0.044
Total tree biomass	1.332	-2.758	0.99	<i>p</i> <0.001	0.015
Total tree biomass	0.922	-1.354	0.97	<i>p</i> <0.005	0.029
	Total tree biomass Total tree biomass Total tree biomass Total tree biomass Total tree biomass Total tree biomass Total tree biomass	Total tree biomass0.834Total tree biomass0.838Total tree biomass0.865Total tree biomass0.648Total tree biomass0.732Total tree biomass0.950Total tree biomass0.746Total tree biomass0.953Total tree biomass1.332	Total tree biomass       0.834       -0.820         Total tree biomass       0.838       -0.887         Total tree biomass       0.865       -1.007         Total tree biomass       0.648       -0.097         Total tree biomass       0.732       -0.690         Total tree biomass       0.950       -1.368         Total tree biomass       0.746       -0.628         Total tree biomass       0.953       -1.437         Total tree biomass       1.332       -2.758	Total tree biomass       0.834       -0.820       0.93         Total tree biomass       0.838       -0.887       0.93         Total tree biomass       0.865       -1.007       0.96         Total tree biomass       0.648       -0.097       0.95         Total tree biomass       0.732       -0.690       0.98         Total tree biomass       0.950       -1.368       0.97         Total tree biomass       0.746       -0.628       0.91         Total tree biomass       0.953       -1.437       0.99         Total tree biomass       1.332       -2.758       0.99	Total tree biomass $0.834$ $-0.820$ $0.93$ $p<0.01$ Total tree biomass $0.838$ $-0.887$ $0.93$ $p<0.01$ Total tree biomass $0.865$ $-1.007$ $0.96$ $p<0.005$ Total tree biomass $0.648$ $-0.097$ $0.95$ $p<0.005$ Total tree biomass $0.732$ $-0.690$ $0.98$ $p<0.005$ Total tree biomass $0.950$ $-1.368$ $0.97$ $p<0.005$ Total tree biomass $0.746$ $-0.628$ $0.91$ $p<0.05$ Total tree biomass $0.953$ $-1.437$ $0.99$ $p<0.001$ Total tree biomass $1.332$ $-2.758$ $0.99$ $p<0.001$

36

Source: Thaung Naing Oo (2009)

## **Regression parameters of seven commercial tree species**

No.	Species	Regression	Regression coefficients		
		y (biomass)	= a (DBH) + b	$R^2$	
		а	b		
1	Teak	15.58	- 137.70	0.95	
2	Pyinkado	25.22	- 261.70	0.94	
3	Padauk	16.73	- 99.94	0.93	
4	Thinwun	16.26	- 86.44	0.98	
5	Taukkyan	24.93	- 299.2	0.92	
6	Yemane	10.22	- 74.01	0.96	
7	Yinma	21.56	- 202.00	0.95	

Source: Thaung Naing Oo (2009)

## Total carbon sequestration of pure teak and mixed species plantations

	Above-	Root C	Litter C	Under-	SOC	Total carbon	
Plantation	ground C	(ton/ha)	(ton/ha)	growth C	(ton/ha)	storage (ton/ha)	
S	(ton/ha)			(ton/ha)			38
	Ι	II	III	IV	V	I+II+III+IV+V	
6-yr-old	20.8 (0.83) <sup>b</sup>	6.2 (0.25) <sup>b</sup>	0.8 (0.02) <sup>d</sup>	2.2 (0.13) <sup>d</sup>	35.5	65.5 <sup>b</sup>	_
					$(1.08)^{a}$		_
16-yr-old	37.6 (5.98) <sup>a</sup>	8.7 (1.78) <sup>a</sup>	1.6 (0.15) <sup>c</sup>	2.8 (0.05) <sup>c</sup>	39.7	90.4 <sup>b</sup>	
					(1.25) <sup>a</sup>		_
26-yr-old	42.1 (2.10) <sup>a</sup>	12.6	1.9 (0.08) <sup>b</sup>	4.1 (0.13) <sup>b</sup>	55.5	116.1 <sup>b</sup>	
		$(0.63)^{a}$			$(4.40)^{a}$		_
26-yr-old	47.8 (1.61) <sup>a</sup>	11.9 (0.40) <sup>a</sup>	2.1 (0.09) <sup>a</sup>	4.2 (0.18) <sup>a</sup>	59.9	125.9ª	
Mixed PT					(5.77) <sup>a</sup>		_

Total carbon storage was significantly different among the plantations (p < 0.01).

Source: Thaung Naing Oo (2009)

## National-Level Large-scale Reforestation Zones



Figure 1 . Map of Forest Cover Status in Myanmar

## **Approaches for FLEGT cont'**

## **Forest Legislation**

- > The old Forest Act of 1902 had been replaced by the new Forest Law.
  - > The Forest Law (1992) highlights forest protection, environmental and biodiversity conservation.
  - It demonstrates a shift from the concept of revenue generation and restriction to motivation and share of management responsibilities with people.
  - It provides opportunities for the promotion of private sector involvement in forestry sector.
  - > It encourages community participatory approach in managing the forest resources.