

UN-REDD Programme Rules of Procedure and Operational Guidance

UN-REDD PROGRAMME

March 2009

Table of Contents

RULES OF PROCEDURE	3
1. Policy Board	3
1.1. Meetings	3
2.1 Full Members	3
1.2.1 UN-REDD Programme Countries.....	3
1.2.2 Donor Countries	3
1.2.3 Civil Society	4
1.2.4 Indigenous Peoples	4
1.2.5 UN Agencies	4
1.2. Observers and Ex-officio Members.....	4
1.3. Co-chairs	5
1.4. Agenda & Meeting Documentation	5
1.5. Quorum	5
1.6. Decisions	5
2. Progress Reports and Updates	5
3. Communication.....	6
4. Public Disclosure	6
OPERATIONAL GUIDANCE.....	7
1. International Support Functions.....	7
2. National Support 'Quick Start' Submission and Approval Process	7
2.1 Scope of National UN Joint Programmes (NJPs)	7
2.2 Formulation of draft NJP Documents	8
2.3 Country Validation of the Draft NJP.....	9
2.4 Submission to Secretariat	9
2.5 Secretariat Review	10
2.6 Policy Board	10
2.7 Country Approval	11
2.8 Release of Funds	11

RULES OF PROCEDURE

1. Policy Board

1.1. Meetings

The Policy Board shall normally meet on a biannual basis - or as often as shall be determined by the Co-chair of the Policy Board in consultation with its membership - at a location to be determined. To the extent possible, Board meetings will be held in coordination with the Participants' Committee meetings of the World Bank's Forest Carbon Partnership Facility (FCPF).

Decisions of the Policy Board may also be made between meetings (referred to as "Inter-Sessional" decision-making). In such cases:

- The Co-chair will set a date for the Inter-Sessional decisions.
- The membership invited to the most recent Policy Board meeting shall comprise the membership for Inter-Sessional decisions. Decisions shall be made by the consensus of full members and alternate members.
- The membership (including full members, alternate members, ex-officio members and observers) will be informed of the date at least 15 working days in advance.
- The relevant documents will be made available electronically at least 10 working days before the decision date.

2.1 Full Members

1.2.1 UN-REDD Programme Countries

- Countries are categorized by region. Initially the UN-REDD Programme covers three regions: Africa; Asia-Pacific; and Latin America-Caribbean.
- Each region shall have ONE full member and TWO alternate members, up to a total maximum of nine countries. The full member and alternate members shall be invited to Policy Board meetings.
- The UN-REDD Programme shall provide funding for ONE representative of each full member country and TWO representatives of each alternate member country to attend Policy Board meetings.
- The full member of the region shall rotate so that the alternate members subsequently become the full member.
- Programme countries in each region select the period (at least once per year) and order of rotation.

1.2.2 Donor Countries

- Up to THREE full member seats are available for donors to the UN-REDD Programme Multi-Donor Trust Fund (MDTF), who have signed a Standard Administrative Arrangement (SAA) with the Administrative Agent (the Multi-Donor Trust Fund Office, UNDP).
- If there are more than three donors, the seats shall be filled by the three largest donors (as measured by the cumulative contribution of committed funds to the MDTF).
- Donors may agree to rotate the seats. Once the Co-chairs are informed of the rotation process and are satisfied all donors are in agreement, the rotation system shall supersede the previous arrangements for filling the seats.

1.2.3 Civil Society

- ONE civil society representative shall be selected as a full member of the Policy Board and THREE observers.
- ONE representative shall be from an organization from a developed country and the other THREE shall be ONE from each of the three UN-REDD Programme regions: Africa; Asia-Pacific; and Latin America-Caribbean.
- The Secretariat and the Participating UN Organizations shall facilitate the self-selection of the civil society representatives.
- The full member shall rotate amongst the FOUR representatives so that the observers subsequently become the full member. The civil society representatives shall select the period (at least once per year) and order of rotation.
- The UN-REDD Programme shall provide funding for the THREE regional civil society representatives to attend Policy Board meetings. The representative from the developed country shall be self-funded.

1.2.4 Indigenous Peoples

- Indigenous Peoples are represented by the Chair of UNPFII as a full member and THREE observers, ONE for each of the three UN-REDD Programme regions: Africa; Asia-Pacific; and Latin America-Caribbean.
- The Secretariat and the Participating UN Organizations shall facilitate the self-selection of the regional Indigenous Peoples observers for each of the three regions.
- The UN-REDD Programme shall provide funding for the full member and the three observers to attend Policy Board meetings.

1.2.5 UN Agencies

- FAO
- UNDP
- UNEP

1.2. Observers and Ex-officio Members

- Alternate members from UN-REDD Programme countries (see 1.2.1 above)
- Civil Society (see 1.2.3 above)
- Indigenous Peoples (see 1.2.4 above)
- UNFCCC Secretariat (Observer)
- Forest Carbon Partnership Facility represented by the World Bank (Observer)
- GEF Secretariat (Observer)
- Multi Donor Trust Fund Office, UNDP (ex-officio)

Representatives of other countries and organizations may be invited to observe Policy Board meetings at the discretion of the Co-chairs of the Policy Board in consultation with other Policy Board members.

At the invitation of the Co-chairs, observers and ex-officio members may participate in the deliberations of the Policy Board.

Additions to the membership and observers may be made upon agreement of the full members in place at that time and in accordance with UN MDTF rules and requirements.

1.3. Co-chairs

The two Co-chairs will be selected from one of the participating countries and from one of the participating UN agencies. The Co-chairs shall rotate among the full members at least once per year (from the time of the first Policy Board meeting). The country Co-chair will rotate among the full members of the three regions (starting with Latin America).

The Co-chairs from the most recent meeting shall preside over Inter-Sessional decisions, unless otherwise agreed by the participating UN Organizations and the full members of participating countries.

Policy Board members shall be informed of changes of the Co-chairs within 10 working days of the change, but not less than 15 working days before a meeting.

1.4. Agenda & Meeting Documentation

The agenda and supporting documentation shall be prepared by the Secretariat in consultation with the Co-chairs of the Policy Board and approved by the full board at the beginning of each meeting.

The Secretariat shall disseminate the draft documentation to the Policy Board membership and invited participants 10 working days prior to the meeting.

The Secretariat will endeavor to provide the meeting documentation in English, French and Spanish.

1.5. Quorum

A quorum of the Policy Board shall consist of seven members, to include: all three Participating UN Organizations, one donor and three country representatives.

1.6. Decisions

The Policy Board makes decisions by consensus of the full members and alternate members. Decisions of the Policy Board shall be duly recorded.

Policy Board members, in their participation, shall be guided by the interests of the overall UN-REDD Programme.

2. Progress Reports and Updates

The UN-REDD Programme Secretariat will submit biannual progress updates to the Policy Board, for review and action as appropriate.

The Administrative Agent will provide regular updates on the financial status of the MDTF to the Policy Board, for review and action as appropriate.

In line with the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) the Participating UN Organizations will submit annual narrative and financial progress reports to the Administrative Agent, as per agreed UNDG financial and progress reporting formats.

The Secretariat shall provide the Policy Board updates on the implementation progress of Joint Programmes (both Global and National) every 6 months, based on information received by the Participating UN Organizations.

Subsequently, in accordance with the MOU and the SAA, the Administrative Agent will submit consolidated narrative and financial reports to all UN-REDD Programme donors. Agreed standard UNDG financial and progress reporting formats will be utilized as indicated in the Annex. The Administrative Agent will also submit to donors a certified annual financial statement (Source and Use of Funds).

3. Communication

The Policy Board will advise the Administrative Agent, and vice versa, on related issues that may arise.

4. Public Disclosure

Information given to the press, to the beneficiaries of the UN-REDD Programme, all related publicity material, official notices, reports and publications, will acknowledge the role of the relevant Government, the donors, the Participating UN Organizations, the Administrative Agent and any other relevant entities as appropriate.

The Administrative Agent, in consultation with the Policy Board and the Participating UN Organizations, will ensure that decisions regarding the review and approval of UN-REDD Programme national joint programmes as well as periodic reports on the progress of implementation of the UN-REDD Programme and associated external evaluations are posted, where appropriate, for public information on the website of the Administrative Agent (www.undp.org/mdtf). Such reports and documents shall include Policy Board approved programmes and programmes awaiting approval, fund level, annual financial and progress reports and external evaluations,.

The Policy Board and the Administrative Agent will take all reasonable steps to ensure the accuracy of such documents and that confidential materials are not posted on the website.

OPERATIONAL GUIDANCE

1. International Support Functions

The UN-REDD Programme's International Support Functions are implemented by the Participating UN Organizations, through a Global Joint Programme (GJP).

The initial GJP reflects the International Support Functions as set out in the UN-REDD Programme Framework Document (June 2008). The Framework Document is an annex to the Memorandum of Understanding between FAO, UNDP, UNEP and the Administrative Agent (the Multi-Donor Trust Fund Office, UNDP) that serves as the basis for the establishment of the UN-REDD Programme Multi-Donor Trust Fund.

In order to facilitate the establishment of the UN-REDD Programme and in accordance with the MOU including the Framework Document, an interim Technical Secretariat pending the establishment of the Policy Board agreed to the extraordinary approval of the initial GJP. The Policy Board is invited to note and confirm this arrangement at its first meeting.

With regard to the approval of the GJP budget, the Policy Board may make the following types of decisions (subject to the availability of funds in the MDTF):

- Approve the entire programme budget (including approvals subject to minor revisions)
- Approve a portion of the programme budget (including approvals subject to minor revisions)
- Reject the GJP and request re-submission.

2. National Support 'Quick Start' Submission and Approval Process

2.1 Scope of National UN Joint Programmes (NJPs)

While the aim of the 'Quick Start' phase is to support the pilot countries to make progress towards National Readiness Plans, it is recognized in the UN-REDD Programme Framework Document that 'Quick Start' actions are to be defined and detailed according to country needs.

Therefore, the scope of NJPs may vary, in accordance with the 'Quick Start' objectives set out in Annex 1 of the Framework Document. Depending upon country circumstances the NJP may deliver all or some of a country's Readiness needs.

‘Quick Start’ NJPs may be presented to the Policy Board in several forms:

- Representing the full scope of Readiness activities to be supported by the UN-REDD Programme (referred to as a “full NJP”)
- Representing an initial package of support from the UN-REDD Programme
- Representing a subsequent package of support after initial support has been satisfactorily completed¹.

2.2 Formulation of draft NJP Documents

NJPs follow the agreed UN Development Group format for Joint Programmes – available at <http://www.undg.org/index.cfm?P=240>.

Formulation should be country-led with assistance from the UN Country Team and Participating UN Organizations’ technical teams (i.e. scoping and formulation missions).

Operational guidance to be developed by the UN-REDD Secretariat should be followed. This includes guidance on engaging with Indigenous Peoples, other forest dependent communities and Civil Society Organizations.

NJPs should complement National Readiness planning processes and, where available, the FCPF R-Plan:

- Where a National Readiness Plan is available it should be attached as an annex to the NJP document
- Where relevant, the NJP should be framed within the context of National Readiness plans, including the R-Plan
- The linkages with National Readiness planning processes, the FCPF and other relevant initiatives shall be outlined during the formulation of the NJP.

¹ “Satisfactorily completed” is defined as:

- At least 75% of the initial NJP’s overall funds committed; and
- Confirmation from the respective UN Resident Coordinator that the initial package of support is delivering its expected outcomes; and
- Provision of all necessary progress reports as required by the UN-REDD Programme Multi-Donor Trust Fund; and
- Provision of any evaluation requested by the Technical Secretariat.

2.3 Country Validation of the Draft NJP

Before being submitted to the Secretariat, the draft NJP must be validated in-country as part of the ongoing consultative process. It is an initial step to ensure government ownership of the NJP and civil society engagement. It requires consultation among the following:

- The UN Resident Coordinator (or designate)
- National Government counterpart (or designate)
- Civil Society/Indigenous Peoples representative(s)².

Evidence of the consultation should be appropriately documented (*i.e.* signed minutes of meeting(s) – referred to as “validation meeting(s)”).

Where a National REDD Steering Committee or equivalent mechanism has been established, it should be used for the validation purpose.

Wherever possible, existing appropriate coordination mechanisms are encouraged to be used – provided they meet the requirements set out above.

2.4 Submission to Secretariat

Following the in-country validation of the NJP, the National Government counterpart (or designate) requests the UN Resident Coordinator (or designate) to submit the draft NJP document.

The UN Resident Coordinator (or designate) submits the draft NJD document with the documentation of the validation meeting(s).

Substantive revisions to NJPs under implementation (*i.e.* changes at the “outcome” level of the Results Framework) also must be re-submitted to the Secretariat for clearance before being signed at the country level.

² In this context the representative(s) will be determined in one of the following ways:

- i. Self-determined representative(s) meeting the following requirements:
 - Selected through a participatory, consultative process
 - Having national coverage or networks
 - Previous experience working with the Government and UN system
 - Demonstrated experience serving as a representative, receiving input from, consulting with, and providing feedback to, a wide scope of civil society/Indigenous Peoples organizations
- ii. Representative(s) who participated in a UN-REDD Programme scoping and/or formulation mission and sit(s) on a UN-REDD Programme consultative body established as a result of the mission
- iii. Individual(s) recognized as legitimate representative(s) of a national network of civil society and/or Indigenous Peoples organizations (e.g. the GEF Small Grants National Steering Committee or National Forest Programme Steering Committee)

2.5 Secretariat Review

Reviews of NJPs will be undertaken using the following criteria:

- Ownership of the NJP by government and non-government stakeholders
- Level of consultation, participation and engagement
- Programme effectiveness, coherence with country strategies and other relevant initiatives, and cost-efficiency
- Management of risks and likelihood of success
- Consistency with the UN-REDD Programme Framework Document
- Compliance with UN-REDD operational guidance and the UN Joint Programme format (*incl., cover page, results framework, budget, etc.*).

Depending on the scope of the NJP, the Secretariat may enlist independent technical experts. The Secretariat is not bound by the views of the experts, but will make a synthesis of any independent reviews available to the Policy Board.

After reviewing a NJP the Secretariat may make the following types of response:

- Provide comments and request re-submission to a future Policy Board meeting
- Provide comments to be addressed before forwarding to the next immediate Policy Board meeting
- Forward to the Policy Board (with comments if necessary) with a recommendation to fund specific portions or phases (including an inception phase) of the NJP
- Forward to the Policy Board (with comments if necessary) with a recommendation to fund the NJP.

For “full NJPs” being presented to the Policy Board, the Secretariat will endeavor to provide a summary of the NJP in English, French and Spanish.

For other types of NJPs being presented to the Policy Board, summaries may only be provided in English.

2.6 Policy Board

In line with its Terms of Reference, the Policy Board may wish to provide comments to be addressed in the final NJP documents. The Secretariat will ensure the comments are adequately addressed/incorporated prior to requesting the release of funds from the Administrative Agent.

With regard to the approval of NJP budgets, the Policy Board may make the following types of decisions (subject to the availability of funds in the MDTF):

- Approve the entire programme budget (including approvals subject to minor revisions)
- Approve a portion of the programme budget (including approvals subject to minor revisions)
- Approve an initial programme budget tranche (including approvals subject to minor revisions)
- Reject the NJP and request re-submission.

The Policy Board may approve NJPs via electronic circulation of the document between meetings. The arrangements for such measures will be established by the Secretariat, following the relevant sections of the Rules of Procedure.

The Secretariat will notify the respective UN Resident Coordinator of the Policy Board's decision within 2 working days.

The reasons for rejection or partial approval of any NJP will be communicated to the country and other concerned parties through the UN Resident Coordinator.

2.7 Country Approval

Once the Policy Board has made a decision, the country undertakes its standard process for approving UN programmes. This should be completed within one month of the Policy Board's decision.

The NJP is signed by:

- The National Government authorized representative(s) (e.g. National Government coordinating entity, implementing partners)
- UN Resident Coordinator
- UNDP Country Director/Resident Representative
- FAO Country representative
- UNEP's authorized representative.

The signed NJP is transmitted to the Secretariat by the UN Resident Coordinator.

2.8 Release of Funds

The Secretariat submits the following to the Administrative Agent:

- Copy of the signed NJP document with the approved budget
- Submission Form, signed by the Co-chairs of the Policy Board.

Upon receipt of the necessary documentation, the Administrative Agent shall release funds to the Participating UN Organizations as set out in Section II of the Memorandum of Understanding for the Multi-Donor Trust Fund (available at www.undp.org/mdtf/UN-REDD/overview.shtml).

The Administrative Agent shall notify the Participating UN Organizations and the UN Resident Coordinator when the funds have been transferred.

The Administrative Agent will ensure consistency of the approved Joint Programme with the applicable provisions of the Standard Administrative Arrangements (SAA) entered between donors and the Administrative Agent, and the MOU between the Participating UN Organizations and the Administrative Agent.