**Cooperation FCPF / UN-REDD on REDD+ Readiness**

**(Outline of paper, draft 2May 2010)**

## Introduction (Yemi/Benoit) (0.5 page)

* Challenge posed by REDD+
* REDD+ Readiness as part of REDD+
* Commitment of UN agencies and WB to work together
* Requests by governance bodies (UN-REDD, FIP, FCPF) to explore coordination
* Search for new delivery partners under the FCPF Readiness Fund
* Achievements/progress to date with coordination
* Target audience for the paper

## Coordinated Delivery Mechanisms for REDD+ countries (11 pages)

**A: Country-level functions: COMMON DELIVERY PLATFORM** (Werner, Mario, Tim) (1 page)

**Principles:**

* + support single national strategy document (e.g., in DRC) in countries that have not yet prepared an R-PP or National Programme (Clea/Andre)
	+ ….

Options for delivery at country level

Option 1: complete transfer of funds and responsibilities from FCPF to UN-REDD

Option 2: partial transfer of funds and responsibilities from FCPF to UN-REDD with two delivery channels

Option 3: partial transfer of funds and responsibilities from FCPF to UN-REDD with single delivery channel

Option 4: cooperation in the implementation of R-PP

**Minimum Standards: (5 pages)**

* **Social and Environmental Standards**
	+ UN-REDD to share its proposed social and environmental due diligence approach with intent to determine consistency with proposed SESA approach and WB safeguards.
* Working Group: Tim, Neeta, Charles Di Leva
* **Stakeholder Engagement**
* Harmonization of guidance note on stakeholder engagement,
* Application of FPIC: Determine whether there is a difference between FPIC “consent” and “consultation”; “leading” versus “ascertain” broad community support.
* Working Group: Charles, Jen, Elspeth, Nina, Haddy, Neeta, Benoit, Charles Di Leva
* **Procurement & Financial Management**
	+ Refer to precedents, e.g., the UN-WB framework for crisis and post-crisis situations
	+ Check that the standards are equivalent and interchangeable
	+ Working Group: Tim, Alberto, Mario, Werner, Benoit
* **Common Grievance Mechanism**
	+ Strive to set common criteria and standards for one national grievance and redress mechanism to be designated or established as part of country REDD+ readiness, and be used by both UN-REDD and FCPF.
	+ Revise R-PP guidelines to reflect this effort.
	+ Consider developing a terms of reference of best practices for addressing grievances in national REDD programs**.**
	+ Working Group: Tim, Charles, Neeta, Benoit
* **Disclosure:** Build on proposed FCPF disclosure guidance and UNDP disclosure policy
	+ Working Group:Cheryl, Yemi, Stephanie, Neeta

**Operational issues: (3 pages)**

* **Shared Readiness Template**
	+ Jointly revise R-PP template to reflect, e.g., SESA guidelines, social and environmental due diligence tool, governance and country lessons.
* Strive to present revised R-PP as a common REDD+ readiness approach before Oslo (or at least communicate to Partnership the intention to agree upon a shared readiness template).
	+ Working Group: Tim, Clea, Neeta, Ken, Benoit
* **Review of Country Submissions**:
	+ Review process: TAP review; PC/PB review; WB/UN review.
	+ Review criteria and standards.
	+ Templates: R-PP; R-Package (What is needed? Format or questions?).
	+ Working Group: Clea, Alberto (on MRV elements), Ken, Neeta
* **Joint missions**: ToR and process for organizing and reporting
	+ Working group: Tim, Clea/Neeta

**B: GLOBAL FUNCTIONS (3 pages)**

* **Voluntary REDD+ database**
	+ Recording and reporting financial flows (from donors and REDD countries)
	+ Recording and reporting readiness work undertaken by REDD countries
	+ Logistics of quick-start on this: potential to show progress or volunteer commitment on this prior to May 27 Oslo meeting
	+ How UN-REDD and FCPF currently report their funding flows numbers (commitments vs. disbursements)
	+ Signal to Partnership that, in principle, UN and WB are ready to collaborate on REDD+ Coordination Database,
	+ Seek clarity from Partnership countries (in particular Australia, France and PNG) on initial thinking on REDD+ Coordination Database.
	+ Explore existing databases, registries and information management tools
	+ Potential partners

Working Group on Database: Tiina, Charles, Alberto, Ken, Stephanie

* **MRV** (Peter, Alberto /Ken, Alex) (1 page)
	+ - Cooperation on MRV all relevant MRV aspects
		- Potential joint work on IP MRV experience and draft guidance?
			* REDD Forum also talking about undertaking this topic, jointly with FCPF and UN-REDD
			* Start with expert and IP workshop then work on drafting guidance?
* **Stakeholder engagement** (Charles/Haddy): (.5)
	+ - Joint IP and CSO engagement process and guidelines, harmonized into a single guidance meeting the highest standard? (Charles started discussing with Joelle at Panama lunch last March)
* **Knowledge management** (Cheryl, Estelle/Stephanie) (.5)
* Collecting, organizing and disseminating lessons learned

## Joint meetings (1 page)

* Organize sessions of common interest between the two initiatives and the Partnership and consider having some meetings before November
* Propose to have FCPF PC Meeting in Guyana include an opportunity to report back and receive feedback on progress made and plans for enhanced collaboration between FCPF, UN-REDD and FIP. Could also invite representatives of UN-REDD, FIP and the Partnership to participate.
* Potential activities to show early cooperation:
	+ REDD+ database
	+ Revised joint R-PP template?
	+ SESA guidance revised, or at least present case studies on application of SESA?
* Propose to organize joint PC/PB/FIP SC meetings during the 1st week of November.
	+ Perhaps a joint day for both programs, and possibly FIP?
* Present an agreement on joint delivery mechanisms
* Governance of joint meetings or potential convergence of programs:
	+ Implications to respective governance bodies of FCPF, UN-REDD, FIP
	+ Role of CSO and observers generally

Working group: Benoit/Yemi, Tiina

## Joint secretariat services (proposal)

* Potential to service the Partnership; doable in principle with additional resources and depends on the nature of the services required by the partnership
	+ Options range from virtual joint secretariat, to dedicated people in the secretariats, to co-location
* Provision of venue for the partnership to meet within the joint meetings
* Not major function compared to delivery of the FCPF and UN-REDD programmes

Working group: Benoit/Yemi

## Cooperation with other Initiatives on REDD+ Readiness (1 page)

* FIP, GEF, UNFCCC, etc.
* Regional: CBFF/ COMICAC, Amazon Fund etc
* Bilaterals
* GEF is increasing its allocations and commitment to working on REDD+.
* Possible roles for the GEF: inventory (e.g., in Brazil), MRV activities, demonstration activities and pilots.

Working group: Benoit/Tiina, Ravi

## Conclusions (0.5 page)