**Issue 1: Who qualifies to receive incentives?**

Who should receive the incentives?

* Those who incur costs?
* Those who have rights to the forest?
* Those who deliver results

What are the issues about equity?

Who should be involved in discussing this issue?

Note that these questions are just suggestions and you should not confine your discussion to these. If you identify other, interesting areas during your conversation, please feel free to raise these.

**Issue 2: On what basis should decisions on allocation of incentives be made?**

It is impractical to allocate incentives on the basis of emission reductions or removal enhancements.

A measure based on inputs is far easier to assess and can be assumed to be related to emissions reductions/removals.

So:

* What would these inputs be?
* How will these be measured?

Note that these questions are just suggestions and you should not confine your discussion to these. If you identify other, interesting areas during your conversation, please feel free to raise these.

**Issue 3: How will the data for decisions (either input-based or output-based) be collected, analyzed, and shared?**

To promote efficiency, costs of data collection, analysis and results dissemination should be kept low. The role of participatory data collection should be considered.

So:

* How can community participation be encouraged?
* How can data be verified?

Note that these questions are just suggestions and you should not confine your discussion to these. If you identify other, interesting areas during your conversation, please feel free to raise these.

**Issue 4: Who will make the decisions, based on the collected and analyzed data?**

In order to ensure transparency and to avoid risk of corruption, the decisions cannot be made by stakeholders who are potentially eligible for incentives.

So:

Where are the high risk areas for corruption?

What can be done to encourage transparency?

What structures may need to be developed?

Note that these questions are just suggestions and you should not confine your discussion to these. If you identify other, interesting areas during your conversation, please feel free to raise these.

**Issue 5: How will the type of incentive (monetary; various types of non-monetary) be decided?**

In order to promote effectiveness, stakeholders should be able to indicate preference in the type of incentive since they will respond more positively to incentives that match their wishes.

So:

* What types of incentives do you think may be asked for?
* What are the practicalities of these incentives?
* How will a registry of incentives be managed?

Note that these questions are just suggestions and you should not confine your discussion to these. If you identify other, interesting areas during your conversation, please feel free to raise these.

**Issue 6: How will the incentives be delivered?**

This of course depends on the nature of the incentives.

So:

* What incentive mechanisms may be necessary?
* What are the possibilities (financial, technical support,etc)
* Who will be involved in delivery?
* What existing mechanisms does your country have in place that could be used to deliver incentives?

Note that these questions are just suggestions and you should not confine your discussion to these. If you identify other, interesting areas during your conversation, please feel free to raise these.

**Issue 7: How will the system be monitored?**

Monitoring of performance, used to trigger the delivery of incentives, is part of the role of the National Forests Monitoring System.

So:

* Who will be responsible for monitoring the system?
* What systems are already in place?
* What may need to be created?

Note that these questions are just suggestions and you should not confine your discussion to these. If you identify other, interesting areas during your conversation, please feel free to raise these.