Measurements on the ground are the key! Remote sensing alone - will not do it!

> Ronald E. McRoberts Northern Research Station U.S. Forest Service Saint Paul, Minnesota USA

#### Outline

• Background

#### • Ground inventories

- sampling for change in tropical forests
- advantages/disadvantages of ground inventories
- Remote sensing-based inventories
  - GOFC-GOLD/IPCC GPG recommendations
  - sensor considerations
  - ground data considerations
  - bias and precision
  - advantages/disadvantages
- Summary and Conclusions

#### Background

- Definitions (GOFC-GOLD Sourcebook)
  - Deforestation:
    - permanent conversion of land from forest to non-forest use
    - depends on definition of forest (area)
  - Degradation:
    - anthropogenic net emissions caused by a decrease in crown canopy cover/biomass
    - how much of a decrease?

#### • Ground sampling

- focus on:
  - tropical forests
  - change estimation (deforestation, degradation)
- advantages/disadvantages

## • Sampling designs

- Spatial balance
  - grid-based or polygon-based





#### • Sampling designs

- Spatial balance
  - grid-based or polygon-based
  - spatially aligned or unaligned

# Spatially aligned





# Spatially unaligned





#### • Sampling designs

- Spatial balance
  - grid-based or polygon-based
  - spatially aligned or unaligned
- Stratification
  - vary sampling intensities

Agriculture









#### • Plot configurations

- Clustering
  - greatest cost of measuring a plot is travel



#### American subplots

## Finnish plot cluster

#### • Plot configurations

- Clustering
  - greatest cost of measuring a plot is travel
- Size
  - topography  $\rightarrow$  smaller



#### • Plot characteristics

- Clustering
  - greatest cost of measuring a plot is travel
- Size
  - topography  $\rightarrow$  smaller
  - tree density  $\rightarrow$  smaller
  - diversity  $\rightarrow$  larger cluster area
    - smaller plots & more plots per cluster?





## American subplots

Finnish plot cluster

#### • Plot characteristics

- Clustering
  - greatest cost of measuring a plot is travel
- Size
  - topography  $\rightarrow$  smaller
  - tree density  $\rightarrow$  smaller
  - diversity  $\rightarrow$  larger
- Change
  - large proportion of permanent plots

## Ground sampling design and plot configuration recommendations

• Spatial balance  $\rightarrow$  systematic component

#### • Stratification

• allocation to vary sampling intensities

#### • Plot configuration

- cluster sampling
- relatively small, nested plots
- large proportion of permanent plots

## **Ground inventories**

- Advantages
  - We know how to do it !!!
- Disadvantages
  - Small sample sizes for remote, inaccessible regions
  - No maps

## • Remote sensing-based inventories

- GOFC-GOLD/IPCC GPG recommendations
- sensor considerations
- bias and precision
- advantages/disadvantages

#### **GOFC/GOLD & IPCC GPG recommendations**

- Use Landsat
  - cloud cover ???
- Supervised classification
  - requires reference data !!!
- Automated classification
  - promotes consistency
- Supported by ground observations
  - suggests integrated approach

#### **Integrating ground and remote sensing inventories**

Landsat TM pixels and American inventory plot configuration



#### **Integrating ground and remote sensing inventories**



Spatially aligned

Spatially unaligned

Which alignment facilitates acquisition of lidar data from an airborne platform?

#### **GOFC/GOLD & IPCC GPG recommendations**

- Use Landsat
  - cloud cover ???
- Supervised classification
  - requires reference data !!!
- Automated classification
  - promotes consistency
- Supported by ground observations
  - suggests integrated approach

# • Remote sensing

- GOFC-GOLD/IPCC GPG recommendations
- sensor considerations

#### • Sensor considerations

- cloud cover
  - short repeat cycle length
  - cloud penetration
- change estimation
  - resolution comparable to deforestation size
  - canopy penetration for degradation
- inexpensive

#### **Sensor considerations**

#### **Optical (passive) sensors**

Sensor	Resolution	Repeat cycle	Cost
SPOT	8-m x 8-m	2-3 days	High
Landsat	30-m x 30-m	16 days	Free
MODIS	250-m x 250-m	1-2 days	Free

#### **Active sensors**

#### Scanning lidar:

active component: laser (light)
airborne platforms
minimal cloud penetration
penetrates forest canopy
costly

#### Synthetic aperture radar:

active component: microwave airborne platforms all weather, all day/night penetrates forest canopy costly

#### • Sensor considerations

- cloud cover
  - short repeat cycle length
  - cloud penetration
- change estimation
  - resolution comparable to deforestation size
  - canopy penetration for degradation
- inexpensive
- saturation

#### **Saturation**:

To some degree, all remote sensors experience biomass saturation, i.e., biomass levels greater than some limit cannot be distinguished .



Englhart et al. 2011. Rem. Sens. Env.

#### • Sensor considerations

- cloud cover
  - short repeat cycle length
  - cloud penetration
- change estimation
  - resolution comparable to deforestation size
  - canopy penetration for degradation
- inexpensive
- saturation
- combining plot and remotely sensed data

#### **Co-registration**:

When combining data from multiple sources such as ground plots and remote sensor, correct registration of the multiple coordinates systems to each other is crucial.

Requires high quality GPS receivers

## • Remote sensing

- GOFC-GOLD/IPCC GPG recommendations
- sensor considerations
- accuracy assessment: bias and precision

# **Error/Confusion Matrix**

		Predicted class		Total	Producer's	
		No chg	F→NF	NF→F		accuracy
Observed class	No chg	75	1	8	84	0.90
	$F \rightarrow N F$	2	5	1	8	0.63
	NF→F	1	1	6	8	0.75
Tota	1	78	7	15	100	
User's accuracy		0.96	0.71	0.40	(	DA=0.86

## Should the donor pay?

- Suppose:
  - Agreed limit is 5% deforestation
  - From classification,  $\hat{p}_{F \rightarrow NF} = 0.045$
- However, from error matrix:
  - 7/100 predicted to be F $\rightarrow$ NF
  - 8/100 observed to be F $\rightarrow$ NF
  - bias estimate is  $-0.01 \Rightarrow \hat{p}_{F \rightarrow NF}^{adj} = 0.045 + 0.01 = 0.055$
  - Is 0.055 statistically significantly greater than 0.05? Need a confidence interval and, in turn, a variance estimate
- Conclusions:
  - An error matrix by itself is not sufficient
  - Need good accuracy assessment data!!

## • Remote sensing

- GOFC-GOLD/IPCC GPG recommendations
- sensor considerations
- accuracy assessment: bias and precision
- advantages/disadvantages

#### **Remote sensing-based inventories**

- Advantages
  - Spatial coverage
  - Maps
- Disadvantages
  - Data acquisition
    - clouds (Landsat/SPOT)
    - cost (lidar)

#### **Remote sensing-based inventories**

• Disadvantages (continued)

#### We do not have much experience!

- Matching definitions of deforestation and degradation to sensor capabilities
- Integrating ground and remotely sensed data acquisition
  - adequacy (pixel/plot sizes)
  - efficiency (flight lines),
  - training and accuracy assessment data
- Bias and precision estimation

## Why ground data are the key

- Selection of deforestation and degradation thresholds require ground data assessments to determine levels that remote sensing can detect
- Supervised classification requires training data
- Bias and precision estimation require accuracy assessment data

# **Summary/Conclusions**

#### Ground inventories

- Can deal with any threshold for deforestation and degradation
- We have considerable experience
- Sample sizes will be too small for remote and inaccessible regions

#### Remote sensing

- Complete coverage
- We can do it, but efficiency and precision are unknown
- We have no other choice for remote and inaccessible regions

#### • Solutions

- Learn fast!
- Exploit what we know and can do well (ground inventories)