



# **Country Approach to Safeguards**



# P R O G R A M M E

### Contents

- 1. Linking the CAS to NS/AP
- 2. Defining Safeguards Goal and Scope
- **3. Addressing Safeguards**
- 4. Respecting Safeguards
- 5. Safeguard Information System (SIS)
- 6. Summary of Safeguards Information
- 7. Links with FCPF SESA/ESMF





# **Key Considerations**



- $\,\circ\,$  NS/AP and Safeguards feedback loop
- Step-wise, iterative approach learn
   from doing build and refine as you go
- Building on existing PLRs, institutions, information systems – focus on gap filling
- Consultation and participation with stakeholders throughout the process (how does Bhutan plan to ensure ongoing stakeholder feedback?)







State of the second sec



# **Defining Safeguard Goal and Scope**

#### **GOAL** What safeguards to apply to REDD+?

- UNFCCC Cancun safeguards (UNFCCC requirement)
- Other financing entities' safeguards, e.g. FCPF-WB
- How to ensure CAS covers all safeguard requirements (mapping, gap filling)

#### **<u>SCOPE</u>** What will be covered by the chosen safeguards?

- All REDD+ activities when implemented (UNFCCC requirement)
- More widely: forestry sector-wide, or across multiple land-use sectors? Extractive industries? *(optional)*
- An opportunity to access sources of funding other than results-based payments under REDD+? E.g. GCF



and the second sec



# **Clarifying Cancun Safeguards**

- Cancun safeguards are broad statements of aspirational intent, i.e. 'principles'
- Need to be broken into key components in order to have operational value / meaning
- How does Bhutan understand these 'principles' given Bhutanese circumstances, context and capacities?
- Which issues are most relevant, high priorities for Bhutan?
- What are the impacts that are most likely to occur?

(To be discussed on Thursday in context of next steps)

# **Clarifying the Cancun Safeguards**

Stakeholder input is critical to ensure common understanding and starting point

b. Forest governance (transparency & effectiveness)

c. Knowledge and rights of indigenous peoples &local communities

d. Full and effective participation of stakeholders, in particular IP & local communities

- Transparency & access to information
  Rule of law & access to justice and
  - effective remedies
- Systems for feedback, oversight and accountability
- Rights to land, territories and resources
- Involuntary resettlement
- Full and effective participation
- Legitimacy/accountability of representative bodies
  - Free, Prior & Informed Consent (FPIC)
- Traditional knowledge & cultural heritage
- Grievance mechanisms





# Assessing Risks and Benefits

- Assessment of potential environmental and social risks and benefits of proposed policies and measures (REDD+ actions)
- Assessing benefits and risks anchors a country approach to safeguards to the policies and measures in the NS/AP
  - A risk/benefit analysis with a base in PAMs can be unfocused and raise stakeholder expectations
- Assessing benefits and risks gives focus and specificity to subsequent steps in the country approach
  - A PLR review without a base in risks/benefits can be unwieldy and unfocused



and the second sec



## What does it Mean to Address the Cancun Safeguards?

### "Address"

• Ensuring that a coherent body of policies, laws, regulations (PLRs), and associated institutional arrangements, **are in place to deal** with the potential benefits and risks associated with REDD+ actions, and in doing so, enabling the application of the Cancun safeguards in the country context and to meet country safeguard goals.



## Assessing Policies, Laws and Regulations

- What PLRs are already in place that are meant to enhance the benefits and mitigate the risks of the proposed policies and measures in the NS/AP?
- Looking at national government-codified PLRs; processes; institutions
- Weaknesses, gaps or inconsistencies <u>on paper</u>? Gaps in institutional mandate? Individual roles and responsibilities?





## What does it Mean to Respect the Cancun Safeguards?

"Respect"

**Effective application of policies, laws and regulations**, through the associated **institutional (and individual) arrangements**, to ensure they are implemented in practice and affect real and intended outcomes on the ground

(Are the PLRs doing what they are meant to do?)



## Assessing capacity to implement PLRs

- Do the relevant institutions have the appropriate mandate, procedures and capacity to implement the relevant PLRs?
- Institutions are primarily government but could also constitute nonstate actors (private sector, NGOs, community stakeholders)
- Weaknesses, gaps or inconsistencies <u>in practice</u>?
- Propose gap-filling measures to incrementally strengthen institutional mandates, procedures and capacity to implement PLRs over time





# **Safeguard Information System**



#### SIS Objective

- Provide information on how safeguards are addressed and respected in REDD+ implementation (UNFCCC requirement)
- System for reporting to other bodies, e.g. CBD, universal periodic review, GCF, UNFCCC, etc. (optional)
- Determining information needs and structure
  - What information is needed to demonstrate risks are being mitigated and benefits are enhanced?
  - How should this information be structured (e.g. narrative statements, indicators) to optimize accessibility and value of content?
- Assess existing information systems and sources

thcoming SIS options paper)

- What does a country already have in place, in terms of institutional arrangements, to meet information needs, and are there any gaps? Role of communities?
- E.g. of existing sources/systems: population census, systems to report to other int'l conventions (CBD, universal periodic review), GRMs, NFMS



## Links with NFMS

# NFMS - another key UNFCCC requirement - Warsaw Framework pillar

Based on 3 main components:
1.Satellite land monitoring system (SLMS),
2.National forest inventory (NFI),
3.Greenhouse gas inventory (GHG-I)

 Could be source of information for safeguards (f) on reversals and (g) on displacement; and others as well





Decisions 12 and 9/CP.19 (Warsaw)



#### SUMMARY OF SAFEGUARDS INFORMATION



#### **CLARIFYING SAFEGUARDS**

• Document the elaboration of each of the Cancun safeguards into key issues, in line with country context and circumstance.

#### ADDRESSING SAFEGUARDS

 Description of the policies, laws, regulations (PLRs), and associated institutional arrangements, which are in place to deal with the potential benefits and risks associated with REDD+ actions.

#### **RESPECTING SAFEGUARDS**

 Demonstration of how policies, laws and regulations, through the associated institutional arrangements, are implemented in practice and affect real and positive outcomes on the ground.

#### **COMPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**

 Strengthen credibility of the summary with the option of providing information on: how the summary was prepared; process of SIS design and development; broader country safeguards approach; etc.

# 

# **UN-REDD Knowledge Products**

- Webinar Slide Sets:
  - 1. Introduction to safeguards
  - 2. Country approaches to safeguards
  - 3. Clarifying the Cancun safeguards
  - 4. Safeguard information systems (and summaries of information)
  - 5. UN-REDD Programme safeguard products and services
- Generic Terms of Reference for the Country Approach
- Benefit and risk assessment framework (complements BeRT v2.0)
- Framework for clarifying the Cancun safeguards in a country context
- Glossary of key terms

Forthcoming publications (December 2015)

- REDD+ Safeguard Information Systems: practical design considerations
- Country Approaches to REDD+ Safeguards: A Global Review of Initial Experiences and Emerging Lessons



	UNFCCC (UN-REDD CAS)	FCPF SESA-ESMF	Comparison
Safeguard Content	Cancun Safeguards	WB Safeguards	<ul> <li>WB Safeguards have more detail</li> </ul>
	(See UN-REDD "Clarifying Cancun Safeguards document" outlining key issues)		- General consistency when taking into account that countries clarify Cancun safeguards into national context
Process to Apply the Safeguards	No process specified. (See UN-REDD "Country Approach to Safeguards")	Strategic Environmental and Social Assessment (SESA) (Assess benefits/ risks of REDD+ Strategy)	<ul> <li>WB SESA ToR offers more detail</li> <li>General consistency when taking into account broader UNFCCC safeguards requirements (SIS and summary of information)</li> </ul>

	UNFCCC (UN-REDD CAS)	FCPF SESA- ESMF	Comparison
System for the Provision of Information on Application of Safeguards	information on how the	Reporting structure is embedded in ESMF as an output.	
Output – Information on how the Safeguards are being applied/ met.	Parties undertaking REDD+ activities should provide a <b>summary of</b> <b>information</b> on how the Cancun safeguards are being addressed and respected throughout the implementation of the activities.	Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF) (Outline measures to address risks of REDD+ strategy)	





#### Jennifer Laughlin jennifer.laughlin@undp.org

#### Safeguards Coordination Group safeguards@un-redd.org

# **Thank You**