REDD+ Social and Environmental Standards Initiative

Joanna Durbin
Climate, Community & Biodiversity Alliance

(Presented by Celia Harvey, Conservation International)

Context

- REDD+ programs are being developed in at least 40 countries/states/provinces
- Potential for significant social and environmental co-benefits
- BUT serious risks, particularly for Indigenous Peoples, local communities and other forest dependent peoples- and risks for biodiversity



Increasing interest in the use of voluntary social and environmental standards



Climate, Community & Biodiversity (CCB) Standards*

- CCB Standards assess the social and environmental impacts of land-based carbon projects
- Widely used in the voluntary market
 (19 projects approved, 43 projects have entered validation, 90+ projects planning to use CCB Standards)
- Reduce risks and add 'multiple-benefit value'
- Access to market and premiums

CCB standards are designed for site-based projects and not for national or state/provincial REDD+ programs

*CCBA: CI, CARE, TNC, WCS, Rainforest Alliance
REDD+ Social & Environmental Standards Initiative

Objectives of REDD+ Social and Environmental Standards

- Overall goal:
 - Effective social and environmental standards for REDD+ programs make a substantial contribution to human rights, poverty reduction and biodiversity conservation goals, while avoiding social or environmental harm
- Specific objectives:
 - Help early adopters build support for their REDD+ programs nationally and internationally
 - Encourage improved performance of REDD+ in other countries
 - Build global support for effective and equitable REDD+

What are the REDD+ Social and Environmental Standards?

- A set of principles, criteria & indicators (PCI) and a process for monitoring, reporting and verification (MRV) on social and environmental aspects of REDD+ programs
- A tool to assess, demonstrate and communicate:
 - transparent, inclusive and equitable process
 - social and environmental benefits
 - respect for rights of indigenous peoples and local communities
- To be applied to policies and measures of government-led REDD+ programs implemented at national or state/provincial level
- Of use to governments, NGOs, financing agencies, and local rights holders and stakeholders
- Designed to be relevant to any form of financing funds or markets

REDD+ Social & Environmental Standards Initiative

Components of the standards

- **Principles** are the 'intent' level of a standard which elaborate on the objectives of the standard and define the scope.
- **Criteria** are the 'content' level of a standard which set out the conditions which need to be met in order to deliver a principle.
- Indicators are quantitative or qualitative parameters which can be achieved and verified in relation to a criterion.

Principle 1: Rights to land, territories and resources are recognized and respected.

Criteria address:

- Identification of rights-holders and their rights
- Recognition of statutory and customary rights
- Free, prior informed consent
- Process to resolve disputes over land/resources related to the REDD+ program
- Carbon rights

REDD+ Social & Environmental Standards Initiative

Principle 2: The benefits of the REDD+ program are shared equitably among all relevant rights holders and stakeholders

Criteria address:

- Identification of costs, benefits and risks of REDD+ for different rights holder/stakeholder groups
- Transparency, participation, effectiveness and efficiency of the benefit sharing process
- Monitoring of costs and benefits and their distribution

Principle 3: The REDD+ program contributes to longterm livelihood security and enhances well-being of Indigenous Peoples and local communities with special attention to the most vulnerable people

Criteria address:

- Livelihood security benefits emphasizing most vulnerable
- Decision making process on the form benefits will take
- Assessment of positive and negative social, cultural and economic impacts
- Measures to mitigate negative & enhance positive impacts

REDD+ Social & Environmental Standards Initiative

Principle 4: The REDD+ program contributes to broader sustainable development and good governance objectives.

Criteria address:

- Contribution to sustainable development objectives
- Coherence with relevant policies and strategies
- Coordination between government and other relevant agencies/organisations
- Improvement in governance of the forest and other relevant sectors

Principle 5: The REDD+ program maintains and enhances biodiversity and ecosystem services

Criteria address:

- Maintenance and enhancement of biodiversity and ecosystem services
- Assessment of positive and negative impacts on ecosystem services and biodiversity
- Adaptive management of the REDD+ program in response to impact assessment

REDD+ Social & Environmental Standards Initiative

Principle 6: All relevant rights holders and stakeholders participate fully and effectively in the REDD+ program

Criteria address:

- Rights holder/stakeholder identification & characterisation
- Participation in design, implementation and evaluation
- · Representation of rights holders/stakeholders
- Capacity to participate effectively
- Building on existing knowledge/skills/management systems
- Resolution of grievances

Principle 7: All rights holders and stakeholders have timely access to appropriate and accurate information to enable informed decision-making and good governance of the REDD+ program

Criteria address:

- Public availability of information for general awareness
- Rights holders/stakeholder having information necessary for full and effective participation
- Dissemination of information by representatives to their constituencies
- Feedback from rights-holders/stakeholders to their representatives

REDD+ Social & Environmental Standards Initiative

Principle 8: The REDD+ program complies with applicable local and national laws and international treaties and agreements.

Criteria address:

- Compliance with relevant local law, national law and international treaties and agreements
- Process for resolving inconsistencies
- Capacity of stakeholders to implement and monitor legal requirements

What the standards can be applied to

- Processes for development of REDD+ programs, strategies, policies and plans
- Implementation of policies and plans
- · Outcomes on the ground

REDD+ Social & Environmental Standards Initiative

How the standards can be used

Current intent:

- Provide good practice guidance
 - development of REDD+ programs
 - implementation of REDD+ programs
- Provide a framework for reporting on performance

Possible future use (if there is interest and a need)

 Assess conformance with respect to requirements of the standards (i.e, by developing a certification process)

social an	nd envir	onmenta	al perform	nance	
Self- assessment	Government led with stakeholder involvement			Independent monitoring	
Reporting					
No public report	Some information public	All relevant information public	Report + comments public	Report, comments and response to public comments	
Verification					
No verification	Review by government- appointed technical panel		Verification by independent third party		
Increasing transparency and accountability					

Countries participating in development of the standards

- Criteria
 - Significant progress towards government led REDD+ program
 - Strong government commitment to demonstrating social and environmental performance of REDD+
- Countries
 - Nepal
- Liberia?
- Ecuador
- Central Kalimantan (Indonesia)?
- Tanzania
- State of Acre (Brazil)

Standards development governance

- A participatory and inclusive process
- A 'Standards Committee' is overseeing standards development & approves each draft of the standards
- Standards Committee membership ensures stakeholder balance with a majority from the south, incl.

REDD governments, IP organisations, community associations, social NGOs, environmental NGOs, private sector, developed country governments

CCBA and CARE are facilitators (secretariat)

The REDD+	Social:	and Environn	nental Stand	ards Co	mmittee

	North	South
REDD govts		Marco Chiu, Ecuador Krishna Acharya, Nepal Evarist Nashanda, Tanzania
Indigenous Peoples orgs		Estebancio Castro Díaz, IAITTF, Panama Jennifer Rubis, IPNM, Malaysia Kanyinke Sena, IPACC, Kenya
Community Associations		Bhola Bhattarai, FECOFUN, Nepal Alberto Chinchilla, ACICAFOC, Central America Rahima Njaidi, MJUMITA, Tanzania
Social NGOs	Jeffrey Hatcher, RRI, USA.	Alejandro Alemán, Centro Humboldt, Nicaragua Samuel Nnah, CED, Cameroon
Environment NGOs	Jenny Springer, WWF, USA Gonzalo Oviedo, IUCN	Mauricio Voivodic, IMAFLORA, Brazil Belinda de la Paz, Haribon, Philippines
Private Sector	Brer Adams, Macquarie., Australia Leslie Durschinger, Terra Global, USA	Rezal Kusumaatmadja, Starling Resources, Indonesia
Facilitators	Joanna Durbin, CCBA Phil Franks, CARE	

Standards development process

- Initial standards development workshop (May 2009)
- Draft principles and criteria circulated to interested stakeholders and advisors for comments (Jun-Aug 2009)
- Consultation meetings with diverse stakeholders and advisors in Nepal, Tanzania, Ecuador countries interested in early adoption (Jul-Oct 2009)
- First 60 day public comment period (Oct-Nov 2009)
- Meeting of the Standards Committee (December 2009)
- New draft version presented at COP15 (December 2009)
- Second 90 day public comment period and additional consultations in Liberia (Jan-April 2010) deadline is April 16
- Standards finalised for testing in pilot countries (May 2010)

REDD+ Social & Environmental Standards Initiative

Phase 2: Testing the Standards from July 2010

- Country-specific interpretation of the indicators and a process for monitoring, reporting and verification
- Collection of information on performance with respect to the standards, report production and review
- Analysis of feasibility and costs of collecting information and using the standards
- Cross-country sharing of experience about assessing co-benefits and using the standards
- New version of the standards based on feedback from participating countries and other stakeholders about the use and perceived benefits of the standards
- Establish a long-term governance structure for the standards

For more information:

Joanna Durbin Phil Franks

Director Global Coordinator

Climate, Community & Biodiversity CARE Poverty, Environment and

Alliance Climate Change Network