

Annual meeting of REDD+ National Coordinators

Analysis for the questionnaire

1. Did the workshop meet the expectations of the participants



2. Feedback from the participants on whether the sessions meet their purpose.
I) <u>Session 1: UN-REDD Programme Updates</u>





III) <u>Sessions3: Civil society and indigenous people</u>



IV) Sessions 4: Tenure and REDD+ taking effective actions



V) <u>Session 5: Reference levels</u>



II) <u>Session2: Gender responsive REDD+ action</u>





Session 6: REDD+ finance and economics

VI)



VIII) Session 8: Introductory considerations







3. <u>Focal point & workshop participants views on whether there was enough room for discussion.</u>



Reasons for

I. <u>Yes response</u>

- > But next time lets have better language translations.
- > The level of discussions was excellent
- > Although it was high paced it kept us on our toes.

II. <u>No Response</u>

> More room was needed to continue discussion and ongoing dialogue for all regions.

- There should be quick follow up meeting to further reflect on issues that will benefit the African region.
- More time should be allocated on group engagements/work.

4. <u>Workshop enhancement of REDD+ understanding to the Participants.</u>



Reasons for the Yes response:

- I. Got more understanding of PAMs
- II. Good experience from different countries.
- III. Good exposure to current REDD+ issues.
- IV. Good information on how to mainstream REDD+ into national development agenda/ programme.
- V. Good knowledge and framework on support to countries.
- VI. Excellent exchange of experiences.
- VII. Perfect intel on REDD+ finance and economics.
- VIII. It unpacked issues of governance, safeguards and their importance to the success of REDD+.

5. <u>Lessons learnt from the participants during the workshop.</u>

- I. All stakeholders must participate in the REDD+ process and be part of decision making and also in designing comprehensive REDD+ strategies
- II. Experiences from other countries is important in improving REDD+ in particular countries.
- III. REDD+ is beyond Carbon benefits.
- IV. Marginalized people like women need to be engaged in REDD+ and the climate fund for example has set funds to empower them.
- V. Charcoal is a complex driver and to address it all stakeholders need to come together.
- VI. REDD+ is a cross cutting issue and should not be treated as a forestry issue alone.
- VII. There is a need to explore possibilities of using subnational approaches.
- VIII. Poverty is a key underlying cause to most drivers of deforestation.

- IX. Definition of forest can change from Country to country.
- X. REDD+ lessons should be applied and anchored to national development.



6. Length of the workshop according to the participants:

7. Suggestions and comments from the participants for future improvement.

- Improve after workshop socialization.
- More such meetings should be held to clarify certain issues that improve ongoing dialogues on REDD+ goals, objectives, PAMS & legal framework.
- Need to hold more consultations on Warsaw framework.
- Participants should be encouraged to make sharp, concise interjections and comments to save time during the plenaries.
- Payments for DSA should be in dollars.
- More recreation activities should be organized during the workshop.
- Presentations should be translated in advance.
- Logistical arrangements should be improved for e.g some participants got stranded at the airport since there was nobody to attend to them.
- Capacity building for junior staff at the sub national level should be looked into.
- Travel arrangements should done appropriately for e.g if the workshop ends on Friday participants who want to travel back on that Friday should be able to do so to avoid further expenses.